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## RE: RIM OF THE WORLD IFA SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS

RSG was recently engaged by the San Bernardino County Local Agency Formation Commission ("LAFCo") to conduct an Initial Feasibility Analysis ("IFA") for the incorporation of a group of communities collectively termed the "Rim of the World". An IFA is a preliminary investigation that provides general feedback on the viability of a proposed city incorporation. In an IFA, limited data and a number of assumptions are used to forecast estimated revenues and expenses that would be incurred by the proposed city. RSG, working in conjunction with LAFCo, developed a five-year financial forecast that reflected likely income streams and service delivery costs utilizing estimated data from the County of San Bernardino ("County'), a service and staffing model similar to the City of Big Bear Lake, and applicable sections of the Cortese-KnoxHertzberg Act.

The Rim of the World includes the existing communities of Arrowbear Park, Blue Jay, Cedar Glen, Cedar Pines Park, Crestline, Green Valley Lake, Lake Arrowhead, Rimforest, Running Springs, Sky Forest, and Twin Peaks. A second IFA incorporation scenario was also developed, which excluded the communities of Arrowbear Park, Green Valley Lake, and Running Springs, collectively known as the "Hilltop".

Service delivery in the Rim of the World is somewhat unique due to the large number of special districts and County Service Areas ("CSAs") that currently provide for roads, parks, water, and wastewater service. In the IFA, special districts and service areas were assumed to either be dissolved or detached, with the proposed city taking over all services, except the existing hospital district. Revenues and expenditures related to special districts were maintained as separate funds in the IFA for clarity. Though the revenues and costs associated with the special districts and CSAs would transfer to the new city, many would remain encapsulated becoming enterprise funds, namely the
water and sanitation districts. Additionally, any fees or assessments levied for specific purposes must be used accordingly.

Basic revenue and expenditure data was estimated by County staff and utilized as a baseline in the forecast. Future costs were based largely upon the City of Big Bear Lake, which is also a somewhat isolated mountain area and was therefore assumed to be a relevant model for municipal staffing and service delivery. All estimates are believed to be sound and defensible, but were not researched to the level of detail as would be expected from a Comprehensive Feasibility Analysis conducted later in an incorporation process. Ultimately, the IFA forecast indicated that incorporation at this time would be infeasible based upon the assumptions used. The annual net General Fund revenues (deficits) from the IFA are summarized in Table 1.

Table 1: IFA General Fund Net Revenue (Deficit)

|  | 2017-18 | 2018-19 | 2019-20 | 2020-21 | 2021-22 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rim of the World | $(5,615,000)$ | $(6,089,500)$ | $(6,407,600)$ | $(7,008,200)$ | $(7,243,700)$ |
| Excluding Hilltop | $(5,050,300)$ | $(5,434,800)$ | $(5,706,300)$ | $(6,207,600)$ | $(6,387,300)$ |

The remainder of this memorandum explores a few variations on the previous assumptions that could improve the feasibility if they came to fruition. To be clear, this memorandum does not evaluate whether or not these changes are probable or possible; it only evaluates the results if they were to happen.

Three hypothetical "Scenarios" were developed to measure the changes that may make incorporation more feasible as described below. Note that the Scenarios are cumulative in nature, i.e. Scenario 2 includes the changes proposed in Scenario 1.

Scenarios:

1. Increased transient occupancy collections, higher fees for service, reduced staffing levels, and cost savings from special district consolidation.
2. All changes in Scenario 1, plus a larger share of the general property tax levy.
3. All changes in Scenarios 1 and 2, plus larger annual increases in assessed values and sale tax revenues.

Scenario 1 - Modifications to Transient Occupancy Taxes, Fees for Service, Slightly Reduces Staffing Levels, and Savings from the Consolidation of Special Districts/Service Areas

This scenario was developed using the following four modified assumptions. These changes may be, to some degree, achieved by the new City Council, albeit with some difficulty. The annual general fund net revenue (deficit) is shown in Table 1, with the
complete funds summaries as Exhibits A (entire area) and D (no Hilltop) at the end of this memorandum.

1. Transient Occupancy Tax. It has been suggested that transient occupancy tax ("TOT"), which is generated by hotels and other temporary lodging for stays less than 30 days, is underreported. Some sources claim that up to 50 percent of these revenues are not reported or paid. RSG has made no investigation into these claims, but to illustrate the fiscal impact, the first scenario assumes twice the current TOT revenues will be collected. Note that if TOT is indeed being underreported currently, the new city would need to enforce revenue collections to see such a drastic increase. This may result in increased staff costs, which are not reflected in the model.
2. Fees for Service. Fees for service are collected for community development and animal control, and used to offset a portion of costs for service. This scenario assumes that the new city would increase fees such that all costs for service are offset.
3. Staffing Reductions. The IFA relied heavily on the service delivery model of the City of Big Bear Lake to determine staffing expenditures due to its similar location and weather. Each city is its own unique environment however, and staffing models can vary. RSG researched other incorporated California cities that were (a) of similar population, and (b) at least outside large metropolitan areas, if not quite as remote as the Rim of the World. Note that in evaluating "similar" city staffing levels, RSG did not consider snowfall as the additional factor essentially eliminated comparable places. Even without the snowfall factor, most cities reviewed had staffing levels above what was considered in the IFA. Nevertheless, based on data available in online city budgets, it may be possible to reduce staffing levels slightly, or contract for some services, which may decrease costs. The projection shown here is the result of eliminating 5 positions, based on RSG's high-level research of similar cities. These estimated reductions were, in no way, determined by RSG to be appropriate reductions; they simply mirror what was found in a few other places.
4. Cost Savings Through Consolidation. The IFA assumes that dissolution and consolidation of the special districts and CSAs under a single administration will result in some cost savings, currently estimated at 15 percent of administrative costs. This scenario increases cost savings, assuming a net savings of 25 percent in administrative expenses. This change would essentially eliminate most of the highest levels of management, and require consolidation of billing and collections, which will be a challenging task.

Table 1: Scenario 1 General Fund Net Revenue (Deficit)

|  | 2017-18 | 2018-19 | 2019-20 | 2020-21 | 2021-22 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rim of the World | $(3,840,600)$ | $(4,271,100)$ | $(4,543,600)$ | $(5,097,800)$ | $(5,383,900)$ |
| Excluding Hilltop | $(3,299,600)$ | $(3,641,400)$ | $(3,867,800)$ | $(4,323,200)$ | $(4,554,100)$ |

## Scenario 2 - Additional Modifications Assuming Larger Property Tax Share

The amount of general levy property tax revenue transferred to the new City from the County is calculated pursuant to a formula codified in the Cortese-Knox-Hertzberg Act. The calculation considers the net cost of services provided by the County, and the proportional share of property tax that supports those services. Based on the data available, the IFA calculates the property tax share to transfer from the County at $2.57 \%$. Ultimately, the transferred share is negotiable, and other cities have successfully lobbied and/or litigated for increased shares over the last 30 years. Note that the general levy shares among cities in San Bernardino County vary drastically, from about 1.5\% up to $25 \%$. The annual general fund net revenue (deficit) is shown in Table 2, with the complete funds summaries as Exhibits B (entire area) and E (no Hilltop) at the end of this memorandum.

- Property Tax Share Transfer. Scenario 2 was developed to determine what share of the general levy would be required to make the five year projection a net positive amount with a small cushion for illustrative purposes. RSG determined that a $14.5 \%$ ( $15 \%$ in the No Hilltop analysis) share would solve for annual deficits in the first five years and allow for a little "breathing room".

Table 2: Scenario 2 General Fund Net Revenue (Deficit)

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | 2017-18 | 2018-19 | 2019-20 | 2020-21 | 2021-22 |
| Rim of the World | $3,446,700$ | $3,164,000$ | $3,041,800$ | $2,639,400$ | $2,507,300$ |
| Excluding Hilltop | $3,325,500$ | $3,118,300$ | $3,027,100$ | $2,710,700$ | $2,620,100$ |

## Scenario 3 - Additional Modifications Assuming Larger Annual Increases in Assessed Values and Sales Tax

While the jump in property tax share results in net positive revenue for the forecast period, the City still sees annual net revenues decline each year as the cost of service grows more rapidly than revenues. Assessed value is projected to increase 2\% per year, in accordance with Proposition 13. Sales tax revenues are increased commensurate with the consumer price index at $2.1 \%$. However, personnel costs are inflating at $3 \%$, based on the City of Big Bear Lake's contract with staff's labor union. The imbalance of revenue and cost growth is a common problem in California cities, and one that is frequently mitigated through new investments that increase assessed value, the
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introduction of new tax producers such as retail users, or the introduction of new taxes. The annual general fund net revenue (deficit) is shown in Table 3, with the complete funds summaries as Exhibits $C$ (entire area) and $F$ (no Hilltop) at the end of this memorandum.

- Faster Increases in Assessed Values and Sales Tax. For illustrative purposes, Scenario 3 was created to inflate secured assessed values at $2.5 \%$ to account for some property turnover and resulting re-assessments that grow the property tax base. Additionally, sales tax revenues were inflated at a slightly faster rate of $2.5 \%$. Both of these changes could still be considered reasonably conservative.

Table 3: Scenario 3 General Fund Net Revenue (Deficit)

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rim of the World | 2017-18 | 2018-19 | 2019-20 |  | 2020-21 |  | 2021-22 |
| Excluding Hilltop | $3,614,200$ | $3,385,800$ | $3,318,900$ | $2,976,200$ | $2,906,300$ |  |  |
|  | $3,460,000$ | $3,299,700$ | $3,259,600$ | $2,994,700$ | $2,958,600$ |  |  |

## SUMMARY

Based on the preliminary work performed in the IFA, the Rim of the World could face severe financial challenges. This sensitivity analysis identifies a few options for mitigating the projected net-negative general fund balance. While these changes, or others, could improve the fiscal outlook, it will take a substantial increase in the general levy property tax share would be critical to incorporation.
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Exhibit A: Scenario 1 - Changes TOT, Fees, Reduced Staffing Levels, and 25\% Cost Savings from Consolidation

| GENERAL FUND SUMMARY |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
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|  | Base Year A Annual City Operating Budget |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Base Year } \\ 2014-15 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | 2017-18 | 2018-19 |  | 2019-20 |  | 2020-21 |  | 2021-22 |  |
| Unrestricted Cash from Dissolved Districts |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General |  | - ! | 8,031,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Road Districts |  | - | 1,920,200 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Water and Sewer Districts |  | -1 | 16,546,300 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | \$ | - ${ }^{\text {- }}$ | 26,497,500 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | I |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Beginning Fund Balance | \$ | - ${ }^{\text {! }}$ | 26,497,500 | \$ | 30,034,800 | \$ | 33,757,500 | \$ | 37,573,900 | \$ | 41,473,000 |
| Dissolved District Revenues |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Property Taxes from Dissolved Districts |  | 18,051,900 | 19,182,400 |  | 19,551,700 |  | 19,928,400 |  | 20,312,600 |  | 20,704,600 |
| Fees for Services and Special Assessments |  | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General |  | 8,546,300 ! | 9,104,400 |  | 9,298,400 |  | 9,496,500 |  | 9,698,900 |  | 9,905,600 |
| Road Districts |  | 1,044,800 I | 1,112,900 |  | 1,136,600 |  | 1,160,800 |  | 1,185,500 |  | 1,210,800 |
| Water and Sewer Districts |  | 40,302,800 ! | 42,934,700 |  | 43,849,600 |  | 44,784,000 |  | 45,738,300 |  | 46,713,000 |
| Interest Earnings |  | -1 | 82,800 |  | 93,900 |  | 105,500 |  | 117,500 |  | 129,600 |
| Total | \$ | 67,945,800 \$ | 72,417,200 | \$ | 73,930,200 | \$ | 75,475,200 | \$ | 77,052,800 | \$ | 78,663,600 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dissolved Districts Expenditures |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fire Protection |  | 19,188,800 | 20,441,800 |  | 20,877,400 |  | 21,322,300 |  | 21,776,700 |  | 22,240,800 |
| Operting Expenditures |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General |  | 8,093,400 | 8,621,900 |  | 8,805,600 |  | 8,993,200 |  | 9,184,800 |  | 9,380,500 |
| Road Districts |  | 1,012,400 ! | 1,078,500 |  | 1,101,500 |  | 1,125,000 |  | 1,149,000 |  | 1,173,500 |
| Water and Sewer |  | 34,363,400 | 36,607,400 |  | 37,387,500 |  | 38,184,200 |  | 38,997,900 |  | 39,828,900 |
| Debt Service |  | 3,323,900 ' | 2,130,300 |  | 2,035,500 |  | 2,034,100 |  | 2,045,300 |  | 1,952,900 |
| Total | \$ | 65,981,900 | 68,879,900 | \$ | 70,207,500 | \$ | 71,658,800 | \$ | 73,153,700 | \$ | 74,576,600 |
| Net Revenue / (Deficit) |  | 1,963,900 ! | 3,537,300 |  | 3,722,700 |  | 3,816,400 |  | 3,899,100 |  | 4,087,000 |
| Ending Fund Balance | \$ | 1,963,900 '\$ | 30,034,800 | \$ | 33,757,500 | \$ | 37,573,900 | \$ | 41,473,000 | \$ | 45,560,000 |
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Exhibit B: Scenario 2 - Additional Change of 14.5\% Property Tax Transfer Share GENERAL FUND SUMMARY
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|  | Base Year A Annual City Operating Budget |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Base Year } \\ 2014-15 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | 2017-18 | 2018-19 |  | 2019-20 |  | 2020-21 |  | 2021-22 |  |
| Unrestricted Cash from Dissolved Districts |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General |  | - ! | 8,031,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Road Districts |  | - | 1,920,200 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Water and Sewer Districts |  | -1 | 16,546,300 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | \$ | - ${ }^{\text {- }}$ | 26,497,500 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | I |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Beginning Fund Balance | \$ | - ${ }^{\text {! }}$ | 26,497,500 | \$ | 30,034,800 | \$ | 33,757,500 | \$ | 37,573,900 | \$ | 41,473,000 |
| Dissolved District Revenues |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Property Taxes from Dissolved Districts |  | 18,051,900 | 19,182,400 |  | 19,551,700 |  | 19,928,400 |  | 20,312,600 |  | 20,704,600 |
| Fees for Services and Special Assessments |  | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General |  | 8,546,300 ! | 9,104,400 |  | 9,298,400 |  | 9,496,500 |  | 9,698,900 |  | 9,905,600 |
| Road Districts |  | 1,044,800 I | 1,112,900 |  | 1,136,600 |  | 1,160,800 |  | 1,185,500 |  | 1,210,800 |
| Water and Sewer Districts |  | 40,302,800 ! | 42,934,700 |  | 43,849,600 |  | 44,784,000 |  | 45,738,300 |  | 46,713,000 |
| Interest Earnings |  | -1 | 82,800 |  | 93,900 |  | 105,500 |  | 117,500 |  | 129,600 |
| Total | \$ | 67,945,800 \$ | 72,417,200 | \$ | 73,930,200 | \$ | 75,475,200 | \$ | 77,052,800 | \$ | 78,663,600 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dissolved Districts Expenditures |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fire Protection |  | 19,188,800 | 20,441,800 |  | 20,877,400 |  | 21,322,300 |  | 21,776,700 |  | 22,240,800 |
| Operting Expenditures |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General |  | 8,093,400 | 8,621,900 |  | 8,805,600 |  | 8,993,200 |  | 9,184,800 |  | 9,380,500 |
| Road Districts |  | 1,012,400 ! | 1,078,500 |  | 1,101,500 |  | 1,125,000 |  | 1,149,000 |  | 1,173,500 |
| Water and Sewer |  | 34,363,400 | 36,607,400 |  | 37,387,500 |  | 38,184,200 |  | 38,997,900 |  | 39,828,900 |
| Debt Service |  | 3,323,900 ' | 2,130,300 |  | 2,035,500 |  | 2,034,100 |  | 2,045,300 |  | 1,952,900 |
| Total | \$ | 65,981,900 | 68,879,900 | \$ | 70,207,500 | \$ | 71,658,800 | \$ | 73,153,700 | \$ | 74,576,600 |
| Net Revenue / (Deficit) |  | 1,963,900 ! | 3,537,300 |  | 3,722,700 |  | 3,816,400 |  | 3,899,100 |  | 4,087,000 |
| Ending Fund Balance | \$ | 1,963,900 '\$ | 30,034,800 | \$ | 33,757,500 | \$ | 37,573,900 | \$ | 41,473,000 | \$ | 45,560,000 |

## Exhibit C: Scenario 3 - Additional Increases to Secured AV Growth and Sales Tax Growth

 general fund summary
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|  | , Annual City Operating Budget |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Base Year } \\ 2014-15 \end{gathered}$ |  | 2017-18 | 2018-19 |  | 2019-20 |  | 2020-21 |  | 2021-22 |  |
| Unrestricted Cash from Dissolved Districts |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General |  | - ! | 8,031,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Road Districts |  | - | 1,920,200 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Water and Sewer Districts |  | -1 | 16,546,300 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | \$ | - ${ }^{\text {¢ }}$ | 26,497,500 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | I |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Beginning Fund Balance | \$ | - ${ }^{\text {! }}$ | 26,497,500 | \$ | 30,318,100 | \$ | 34,428,000 | \$ | 38,740,300 | \$ | 43,248,900 |
| Dissolved District Revenues |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Property Taxes from Dissolved Districts |  | 18,051,900 ' | 19,465,700 |  | 19,938,000 |  | 20,422,200 |  | 20,918,500 |  | 21,427,300 |
| Fees for Services and Special Assessments |  | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General |  | 8,546,300 ' | 9,104,400 |  | 9,298,400 |  | 9,496,500 |  | 9,698,900 |  | 9,905,600 |
| Road Districts |  | 1,044,800 | 1,112,900 |  | 1,136,600 |  | 1,160,800 |  | 1,185,500 |  | 1,210,800 |
| Water and Sewer Districts |  | 40,302,800 ! | 42,934,700 |  | 43,849,600 |  | 44,784,000 |  | 45,738,300 |  | 46,713,000 |
| Interest Earnings |  | - | 82,800 |  | 94,800 |  | 107,600 |  | 121,100 |  | 135,200 |
| Total | \$ | 67,945,800 \$ | 72,700,500 | \$ | 74,317,400 | \$ | 75,971,100 | \$ | 77,662,300 | \$ | 79,391,900 |
|  |  | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dissolved Districts Expenditures |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fire Protection |  | 19,188,800 | 20,441,800 |  | 20,877,400 |  | 21,322,300 |  | 21,776,700 |  | 22,240,800 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General |  | 8,093,400 | 8,621,900 |  | 8,805,600 |  | 8,993,200 |  | 9,184,800 |  | 9,380,500 |
| Road Districts |  | 1,012,400 ! | 1,078,500 |  | 1,101,500 |  | 1,125,000 |  | 1,149,000 |  | 1,173,500 |
| Water and Sewer |  | 34,363,400 | 36,607,400 |  | 37,387,500 |  | 38,184,200 |  | 38,997,900 |  | 39,828,900 |
| Debt Service |  | 3,323,900 ' | 2,130,300 |  | 2,035,500 |  | 2,034,100 |  | 2,045,300 |  | 1,952,900 |
| Total | \$ | 65,981,900 | 68,879,900 | \$ | 70,207,500 | \$ | 71,658,800 | \$ | 73,153,700 | \$ | 74,576,600 |
| Net Revenue / (Deficit) |  | 1,963,900 ! | 3,820,600 |  | 4,109,900 |  | 4,312,300 |  | 4,508,600 |  | 4,815,300 |
| Ending Fund Balance | \$ | 1,963,900 '\$ | 30,318,100 | \$ | 34,428,000 | \$ | 38,740,300 | \$ | 43,248,900 | \$ | 48,064,200 |
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Exhibit D: Scenario 1 No Hilltop - Changes TOT, Fees, Reduced Staffing Levels, and 25\% Cost Savings from Consolidation

|  | Annual City Operating Budget |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Base Year 2014-15 |  | 2017-18 |  | 2018-19 |  | 2019-20 |  | 2020-21 |  | 2021-22 |  |
| Beginning Fund Balance | \$ | I |  | - | \$ | $(3,299,600)$ | \$ | $(6,941,000)$ | \$ | $(10,808,800)$ | \$ | $(15,132,000)$ |
| Revenues by Source |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Property Taxes |  | 1,185,000 |  | 1,259,300 |  | 1,283,000 |  | 1,307,800 |  | 1,332,500 |  | 1,358,300 |
| Sales Taxes |  | 1,140,200 |  | 1,214,600 |  | 1,240,500 |  | 1,266,900 |  | 1,293,900 |  | 1,321,500 |
| Property Transfer Taxes |  | 116,200 |  | 128,500 |  | 131,700 |  | 135,000 |  | 138,300 |  | 141,800 |
| Transient Occupancy Taxes |  | 1,600,700 |  | 1,705,300 |  | 1,741,600 |  | 1,778,700 |  | 1,816,600 |  | 1,855,300 |
| Off Highway License Subvention |  | 1,400 |  | 1,400 |  | 1,500 |  | 1,500 |  | 1,500 |  | 1,500 |
| Animal Control Fees |  | 279,700 |  | 334,000 |  | 354,300 |  | 375,900 |  | 398,800 |  | 423,100 |
| Fines \& Forefeitures |  | 50,800 |  | 53,500 |  | 54,000 |  | 54,600 |  | 55,100 |  | 55,700 |
| Franchise Fees |  | 602,300 |  | 620,600 |  | 626,800 |  | 633,000 |  | 639,400 |  | 645,700 |
| Community Development Fees |  | 797,900 |  | 1,140,500 |  | 1,166,400 |  | 1,193,300 |  | 1,220,700 |  | 1,149,100 |
| Public Works Fees |  | 101,100 |  | 110,400 |  | 113,700 |  | 117,100 |  | 120,700 |  | 124,300 |
| Interest Earnings |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Total | \$ | 5,875,300 |  | 6,568,100 | \$ | 6,713,500 | \$ | 6,863,800 | \$ | 7,017,500 | \$ | 7,076,300 |
| Expenditures by Department |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| City Administration |  | 590,400 |  | 569,700 |  | 650,300 |  | 604,400 |  | 688,600 |  | 641,100 |
| City Attorney |  | 154,000 |  | 168,300 |  | 173,300 |  | 178,500 |  | 183,900 |  | 189,400 |
| Human Resources |  | 201,900 |  | 219,400 |  | 226,000 |  | 232,800 |  | 239,800 |  | 247,000 |
| Finance |  | 266,900 |  | 279,200 |  | 287,500 |  | 296,100 |  | 305,100 |  | 314,200 |
| Community Development |  | 797,900 |  | 1,140,500 |  | 1,166,400 |  | 1,193,300 |  | 1,220,700 |  | 1,149,100 |
| Public Works |  | 723,900 |  | 779,100 |  | 802,000 |  | 825,400 |  | 849,500 |  | 874,200 |
| Animal Control |  | 279,700 |  | 334,000 |  | 354,300 |  | 375,900 |  | 398,800 |  | 423,100 |
| Law Enforcement |  | 3,966,200 |  | 4,735,800 |  | 5,024,200 |  | 5,330,200 |  | 5,654,800 |  | 5,999,200 |
| Non-Departmental |  | 543,500 |  | 582,700 |  | 596,400 |  | 610,500 |  | 624,900 |  | 639,600 |
| Transition Period Loan Repayment |  | TBD |  | TBD |  | TBD |  | TBD |  | TBD |  | TBD |
| Revenue Neutrality Payment |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Contingency ( $10 \%$ of Expenditures) |  | 755,000 |  | 883,000 |  | 931,000 |  | 967,000 |  | 1,019,000 |  | 1,050,000 |
| Reserve Fund (25\% of Expenditures) |  | 1,887,500 |  | 150,400 |  | 117,900 |  | 91,700 |  | 129,800 |  | 77,700 |
| Total | \$ | 10,192,500 |  | 9,867,700 | \$ | 10,354,900 | \$ | 10,731,600 | \$ | 11,340,700 | \$ | 11,630,400 |
| Net Revenue / (Deficit) |  | $(4,317,200){ }^{\prime}$ |  | $(3,299,600)$ |  | $(3,641,400)$ |  | $(3,867,800)$ |  | (4,323,200) |  | $(4,554,100)$ |
| Ending Fund Balance | \$ | $(4,317,200)!$ |  | $(3,299,600)$ | \$ | $(6,941,000)$ | \$ | $(10,808,800)$ | \$ | $(15,132,000)$ | \$ | $(19,686,100)$ |
| ROAD FUND SUMMARY |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Annual City Operating Budget |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Base Year <br> 2014-15 |  | 2017-18 |  | 2018-19 |  | 2019-20 |  | 2020-21 |  | 2021-22 |
| Beginning Fund Balance | \$ |  | \$ | - | \$ | $(1,697,500)$ | \$ | $(1,740,000)$ | \$ | $(1,784,500)$ | \$ | $(1,830,100)$ |
| Revenues by Source |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gas Tax (Sec. 2105) |  | 1,400 |  | 1,400 |  | 1,500 |  | 1,500 |  | 1,500 |  | 1,500 |
| Gas Tax (Sec. 2106) |  | 132,700 |  | 136,700 |  | 138,100 |  | 139,500 |  | 140,900 |  | 142,300 |
| Gas Tax (Sec. 2107) |  | 125,400 |  | 125,400 |  | 125,400 |  | 125,400 |  | 125,400 |  | 125,400 |
| Gas Tax (Sec. 2107.5) |  | 5,000 |  | 5,000 |  | 6,000 |  | 6,000 |  | 6,000 |  | 6,000 |
| Interest Earnings |  |  |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Total | \$ | 264,500 | \$ | 268,500 | \$ | 271,000 | \$ | 272,400 | \$ | 273,800 | \$ | 275,200 |
| Expenditures by Department |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Road Maintenance |  | 1,837,300 |  | 1,966,000 |  | 2,011,000 |  | 2,056,900 |  | 2,103,900 |  | 2,152,000 |
| Total | \$ | 1,837,300 | \$ | 1,966,000 | \$ | 2,011,000 | \$ | 2,056,900 | \$ | 2,103,900 | \$ | 2,152,000 |
| Net Revenue / (Deficit) |  | $(1,572,800)$ |  | $(1,697,500)$ |  | $(1,740,000)$ |  | $(1,784,500)$ |  | $(1,830,100)$ |  | $(1,876,800)$ |
| Ending Fund Balance | \$ | $(1,572,800)$ | \$ | $(1,697,500)$ | \$ | $(3,437,500)$ | \$ | $(3,524,500)$ | \$ | $(3,614,600)$ | \$ | $(3,706,900)$ |
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CSA/SPECIAL DISTRICT ANALYSIS

|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Base Year } \\ 2014-15 \end{gathered}$ |  |  | Annual City Operating Budget |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | I | 2017-18 | 2018-19 |  | 2019-20 |  | 2020-21 |  | 2021-22 |  |
|  |  |  | , |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unrestricted Cash from Dissolved Districts |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General |  |  | 1 | 7,348,500 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Road |  |  | 1 | 1,177,700 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Water and Sewer |  |  | 1 | 13,541,500 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | \$ |  |  | 22,067,700 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Beginning Fund Balance | \$ |  | 1\$ | 22,067,700 | \$ | 22,178,200 | \$ | 22,395,500 | \$ | 22,622,000 | \$ | 22,853,900 |
|  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dissolved District Revenues |  |  | I |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Property Taxes from Dissolved Districts |  | 15,941,000 | 1 | 16,940,300 |  | 17,265,000 |  | 17,596,300 |  | 17,934,300 |  | 18,279,000 |
| Fees for Services |  |  | , |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General |  | 7,148,500 | I | 7,615,300 |  | 7,777,600 |  | 7,943,300 |  | 8,112,600 |  | 8,285,500 |
| Road Districts |  | 1,003,400 | I | 1,068,900 |  | 1,091,700 |  | 1,115,000 |  | 1,138,800 |  | 1,163,100 |
| Water and Sewer Districts |  | 15,052,600 |  | 16,035,600 |  | 16,377,300 |  | 16,726,300 |  | 17,082,700 |  | 17,446,700 |
| Interest Earnings |  |  | I | 69,000 |  | 69,300 |  | 70,000 |  | 70,700 |  | 71,400 |
| Total | \$ | 39,145,500 | \$ | 41,729,100 | \$ | 42,580,900 | \$ | 43,450,900 | \$ | 44,339,100 | \$ | 45,245,700 |
|  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dissolved Districts Expenditures |  |  | ! |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fire Protection |  | 16,560,100 | I | 17,641,500 |  | 18,017,400 |  | 18,401,400 |  | 18,793,500 |  | 18,793,500 |
| Other Operating Expenditures |  |  | , |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General |  | 7,355,300 | I | 7,835,600 |  | 8,002,600 |  | 8,173,100 |  | 8,347,300 |  | 8,525,200 |
| Road Districts |  | 986,700 |  | 1,051,100 |  | 1,073,500 |  | 1,096,400 |  | 1,119,800 |  | 1,143,700 |
| Water and Sewer Districts |  | 12,377,100 | , | 13,185,400 |  | 13,466,400 |  | 13,753,400 |  | 14,046,500 |  | 14,345,800 |
| Debt Service |  | 2,762,200 |  | 1,905,000 |  | 1,803,700 |  | 1,800,100 |  | 1,800,100 |  | 1,800,100 |
| Total | \$ | 40,041,400 | \$ | 41,618,600 | \$ | 42,363,600 | \$ | 43,224,400 | \$ | 44,107,200 | \$ | 44,608,300 |
|  |  |  | I |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net Revenue / (Deficit) |  | $(895,900)$ |  | 110,500 |  | 217,300 |  | 226,500 |  | 231,900 |  | 637,400 |
|  |  |  | I |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ending Fund Balance | \$ | $(895,900)$ | \$ | 22,178,200 | \$ | 22,395,500 | \$ | 22,622,000 | \$ | 22,853,900 | \$ | 23,491,300 |
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Exhibit E: Scenario 2 No Hilltop - Additional Change of 15\% Property Tax Transfer Share

|  | Annual City Operating Budget |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2014-15 |  | 2017-18 |  | 2018-19 |  | 2019-20 |  | 2020-21 |  | 2021-22 |  |
| Beginning Fund Balance | \$ | -1 | \$ | - | \$ | 3,325,500 | \$ | 6,443,800 | \$ | 9,470,900 | \$ | 12,181,600 |
| Revenues by Source |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Property Taxes |  | 7,419,100 |  | 7,884,400 |  | 8,035,800 |  | 8,189,300 |  | 8,346,700 |  | 8,507,100 |
| Sales Taxes |  | 1,140,200 |  | 1,214,600 |  | 1,240,500 |  | 1,266,900 |  | 1,293,900 |  | 1,321,500 |
| Property Transfer Taxes |  | 116,200 |  | 128,500 |  | 131,700 |  | 135,000 |  | 138,300 |  | 141,800 |
| Transient Occupancy Taxes |  | 1,600,700 |  | 1,705,300 |  | 1,741,600 |  | 1,778,700 |  | 1,816,600 |  | 1,855,300 |
| Off Highway License Subvention |  | 1,400 |  | 1,400 |  | 1,500 |  | 1,500 |  | 1,500 |  | 1,500 |
| Animal Control Fees |  | 279,700 |  | 334,000 |  | 354,300 |  | 375,900 |  | 398,800 |  | 423,100 |
| Fines \& Forefeitures |  | 50,800 |  | 53,500 |  | 54,000 |  | 54,600 |  | 55,100 |  | 55,700 |
| Franchise Fees |  | 602,300 ' |  | 620,600 |  | 626,800 |  | 633,000 |  | 639,400 |  | 645,700 ! |
| Community Development Fees |  | 797,900 |  | 1,140,500 |  | 1,166,400 |  | 1,193,300 |  | 1,220,700 |  | 1,149,100 |
| Public Works Fees |  | 101,100 |  | 110,400 |  | 113,700 |  | 117,100 |  | 120,700 |  | 124,300 |
| Interest Earnings |  | - |  | - |  | 6,900 |  | 13,400 |  | 19,700 |  | 25,400 |
| Total | \$ | 12,109,400 | \$ | 13,193,200 | \$ | 13,473,200 | \$ | 13,758,700 | \$ | 14,051,400 | \$ | 14,250,500 |
| Expenditures by Department |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| City Council |  | 25,600 |  | 25,600 |  | 25,600 |  | 25,800 |  | 25,800 |  | 25,800 |
| City Administration |  | 590,400 ! |  | 569,700 |  | 650,300 |  | 604,400 |  | 688,600 |  | 641,100 |
| City Attorney |  | 154,000 |  | 168,300 |  | 173,300 |  | 178,500 |  | 183,900 |  | 189,400 |
| Human Resources |  | 201,900 |  | 219,400 |  | 226,000 |  | 232,800 |  | 239,800 |  | 247,000 |
| Finance |  | 266,900 |  | 279,200 |  | 287,500 |  | 296,100 |  | 305,100 |  | 314,200 |
| Community Development |  | 797,900 |  | 1,140,500 |  | 1,166,400 |  | 1,193,300 |  | 1,220,700 |  | 1,149,100 |
| Public Works |  | 723,900 |  | 779,100 |  | 802,000 |  | 825,400 |  | 849,500 |  | 874,200 |
| Animal Control |  | 279,700 |  | 334,000 |  | 354,300 |  | 375,900 |  | 398,800 |  | 423,100 |
| Law Enforcement |  | 3,966,200 ! |  | 4,735,800 |  | 5,024,200 |  | 5,330,200 |  | 5,654,800 |  | 5,999,200 |
| Non-Departmental |  | 543,500 |  | 582,700 |  | 596,400 |  | 610,500 |  | 624,900 |  | 639,600 |
| Transition Period Loan Repayment |  | TBD |  | TBD |  | TBD |  | TBD |  | TBD |  | TBD |
| Revenue Neutrality Payment |  | -1 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Contingency ( $10 \%$ of Expenditures) |  | 755,000 |  | 883,000 |  | 931,000 |  | 967,000 |  | 1,019,000 |  | 1,050,000 |
| Reserve Fund (25\% of Expenditures) |  | 1,887,500 |  | 150,400 |  | 117,900 |  | 91,700 |  | 129,800 |  | 77,700 |
| Total | \$ | 10,192,500 | \$ | 9,867,700 | \$ | 10,354,900 | \$ | 10,731,600 | \$ | 11,340,700 | \$ | 11,630,400 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net Revenue / (Deficit) |  | 1,916,900 |  | 3,325,500 |  | 3,118,300 |  | 3,027,100 |  | 2,710,700 |  | 2,620,100 |
| Ending Fund Balance | \$ | 1,916,900 ! | \$ | 3,325,500 | \$ | 6,443,800 | \$ | 9,470,900 | \$ | 12,181,600 | \$ | 14,801,700 |
| ROAD FUND SUMMARY |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |
|  | Annual City Operating Budget |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Base Year 2014-15 |  |  | 2017-18 |  | 2018-19 |  | 2019-20 |  | 2020-21 | 2021-22 |  |
| Beginning Fund Balance | \$ |  | \$ | - | \$ | $(1,697,500)$ | \$ | $(1,740,000)$ | \$ | (1,784,500) | \$ | (1,830,100) |
| Revenues by Source |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gas Tax (Sec. 2105) |  | 1,400 |  | 1,400 |  | 1,500 |  | 1,500 |  | 1,500 |  | 1,500 |
| Gas Tax (Sec. 2106) |  | 132,700 |  | 136,700 |  | 138,100 |  | 139,500 |  | 140,900 |  | 142,300 |
| Gas Tax (Sec. 2107) |  | 125,400 |  | 125,400 |  | 125,400 |  | 125,400 |  | 125,400 |  | 125,400 |
| Gas Tax (Sec. 2107.5) |  | 5,000 |  | 5,000 |  | 6,000 |  | 6,000 |  | 6,000 |  | 6,000 |
| Interest Earnings |  |  |  | - |  | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | \$ | 264,500 | \$ | 268,500 | \$ | 271,000 | \$ | 272,400 | \$ | 273,800 | \$ | 275,200 |
| Expenditures by Department |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Road Maintenance |  | 1,837,300 |  | 1,966,000 |  | 2,011,000 |  | 2,056,900 |  | 2,103,900 |  | 2,152,000 |
| Total | \$ | 1,837,300 | \$ | 1,966,000 | \$ | 2,011,000 | \$ | 2,056,900 | \$ | 2,103,900 | \$ | 2,152,000 |
| Net Revenue / (Deficit) |  | $(1,572,800)$ |  | $(1,697,500)$ |  | $(1,740,000)$ |  | $(1,784,500)$ |  | $(1,830,100)$ |  | $(1,876,800)$ |
| Ending Fund Balance | \$ | (1,572,800) | \$ | $(1,697,500)$ | \$ | $(3,437,500)$ | \$ | $(3,524,500)$ | \$ | $(3,614,600)$ | \$ | $(3,706,900)$ |
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CSAISPECIAL DISTRICT ANALYSIS

|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Base Year } \\ 2014-15 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | Annual City Operating Budget |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | 2017-18 | 2018-19 |  | 2019-20 |  | 2020-21 |  | 2021-22 |  |
|  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unrestricted Cash from Dissolved Districts |  | I |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General |  | ' |  | 7,348,500 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Road |  | - 1 |  | 1,177,700 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Water and Sewer |  | -1 |  | 13,541,500 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | \$ |  | \$ | 22,067,700 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | - I |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Beginning Fund Balance | \$ |  | \$ | 22,067,700 | \$ | 22,178,200 | \$ | 22,395,500 | \$ | 22,622,000 | \$ | 22,853,900 |
| Dissolved District Revenues |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Property Taxes from Dissolved Districts |  | 15,941,000 |  | 16,940,300 |  | 17,265,000 |  | 17,596,300 |  | 17,934,300 |  | 18,279,000 |
| Fees for Services |  | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General |  | 7,148,500 |  | 7,615,300 |  | 7,777,600 |  | 7,943,300 |  | 8,112,600 |  | 8,285,500 |
| Road Districts |  | 1,003,400 |  | 1,068,900 |  | 1,091,700 |  | 1,115,000 |  | 1,138,800 |  | 1,163,100 |
| Water and Sewer Districts |  | 15,052,600 |  | 16,035,600 |  | 16,377,300 |  | 16,726,300 |  | 17,082,700 |  | 17,446,700 |
| Interest Earnings |  | - |  | 69,000 |  | 69,300 |  | 70,000 |  | 70,700 |  | 71,400 |
| Total | \$ | 39,145,500 | \$ | 41,729,100 | \$ | 42,580,900 | \$ | 43,450,900 | \$ | 44,339,100 | \$ | 45,245,700 |
|  |  | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dissolved Districts Expenditures |  | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fire Protection |  | 16,560,100 |  | 17,641,500 |  | 18,017,400 |  | 18,401,400 |  | 18,793,500 |  | 18,793,500 |
| Other Operating Expenditures |  | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General |  | 7,355,300 |  | 7,835,600 |  | 8,002,600 |  | 8,173,100 |  | 8,347,300 |  | 8,525,200 |
| Road Districts |  | 986,700 |  | 1,051,100 |  | 1,073,500 |  | 1,096,400 |  | 1,119,800 |  | 1,143,700 |
| Water and Sewer Districts |  | 12,377,100 |  | 13,185,400 |  | 13,466,400 |  | 13,753,400 |  | 14,046,500 |  | 14,345,800 |
| Debt Service |  | 2,762,200 |  | 1,905,000 |  | 1,803,700 |  | 1,800,100 |  | 1,800,100 |  | 1,800,100 |
| Total | \$ | 40,041,400 | \$ | 41,618,600 | \$ | 42,363,600 | \$ | 43,224,400 | \$ | 44,107,200 | \$ | 44,608,300 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net Revenue / (Deficit) |  | $(895,900)$ |  | 110,500 |  | 217,300 |  | 226,500 |  | 231,900 |  | 637,400 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ending Fund Balance | \$ | $(895,900)$ |  | 22,178,200 | \$ | 22,395,500 | \$ | 22,622,000 | \$ | 22,853,900 | \$ | 23,491,300 |

## Exhibit F: Scenario 3 No Hilltop - Additional Increases to Secured AV Growth and Sales Tax Growth general fund summary

|  | Annual City Operating Budget |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Base Year } \\ 2014-15 \\ \hline \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | 2017-18 |  | 2018-19 |  | 2019-20 |  | 2020-21 |  | 2021-22 |  |
| Beginning Fund Balance | \$ | - |  | - | \$ | 3,460,000 | \$ | 6,759,700 | \$ | 10,019,300 | \$ | 13,014,000 |
| Revenues by Source |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Property Taxes |  | 7,419,100 ! |  | 8,001,200 |  | 8,194,200 |  | 8,393,200 |  | 8,596,200 |  | 8,805,100 |
| Sales Taxes |  | 1,144,300 |  | 1,232,300 |  | 1,263,200 |  | 1,294,800 |  | 1,327,200 |  | 1,360,300 |
| Property Transfer Taxes |  | 116,200 |  | 128,500 |  | 131,700 |  | 135,000 |  | 138,300 |  | 141,800 |
| Transient Occupancy Taxes |  | 1,600,700 |  | 1,705,300 |  | 1,741,600 |  | 1,778,700 |  | 1,816,600 |  | 1,855,300 |
| Off Highway License Subvention |  | 1,400 |  | 1,400 |  | 1,500 |  | 1,500 |  | 1,500 |  | 1,500 |
| Animal Control Fees |  | 279,700 |  | 334,000 |  | 354,300 |  | 375,900 |  | 398,800 |  | 423,100 |
| Fines \& Forefeitures |  | 50,800 |  | 53,500 |  | 54,000 |  | 54,600 |  | 55,100 |  | 55,700 |
| Franchise Fees |  | 602,300 ' |  | 620,600 |  | 626,800 |  | 633,000 |  | 639,400 |  | 645,700 ! |
| Community Development Fees |  | 798,900 |  | 1,140,800 |  | 1,166,700 |  | 1,193,600 |  | 1,221,000 |  | 1,149,400 |
| Public Works Fees |  | 101,100 ! |  | 110,400 |  | 113,700 |  | 117,100 |  | 120,700 |  | 124,300 |
| Interest Earnings |  | -1 |  | - |  | 7,200 |  | 14,100 |  | 20,900 |  | 27,100 |
| Total | \$ | 12,114,500 |  | 13,328,000 | \$ | 13,654,900 | \$ | 13,991,500 | \$ | 14,335,700 | \$ | 14,589,300 |
| Expenditures by Department |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| City Council |  | 25,600 |  | 25,600 |  | 25,600 |  | 25,800 |  | 25,800 |  | 25,800 |
| City Administration |  | 590,400 ' |  | 569,700 |  | 650,300 |  | 604,400 |  | 688,600 |  | 641,100 |
| City Attorney |  | 154,000 |  | 168,300 |  | 173,300 |  | 178,500 |  | 183,900 |  | 189,400 |
| Human Resources |  | 201,900 |  | 219,400 |  | 226,000 |  | 232,800 |  | 239,800 |  | 247,000 |
| Finance |  | 266,900 |  | 279,200 |  | 287,500 |  | 296,100 |  | 305,100 |  | 314,200 |
| Community Development |  | 798,900 |  | 1,140,800 |  | 1,166,700 |  | 1,193,600 |  | 1,221,000 |  | 1,149,400 |
| Public Works |  | 723,900 |  | 779,100 |  | 802,000 |  | 825,400 |  | 849,500 |  | 874,200 |
| Animal Control |  | 279,700 |  | 334,000 |  | 354,300 |  | 375,900 |  | 398,800 |  | 423,100 |
| Law Enforcement |  | 3,966,200 ! |  | 4,735,800 |  | 5,024,200 |  | 5,330,200 |  | 5,654,800 |  | 5,999,200 |
| Non-Departmental |  | 543,500 |  | 582,700 |  | 596,400 |  | 610,500 |  | 624,900 |  | 639,600 |
| Transition Period Loan Repayment |  | TBD |  | TBD |  | TBD |  | TBD |  | TBD |  | TBD |
| Revenue Neutrality Payment |  | -1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Contingency ( $10 \%$ of Expenditures) |  | 755,000 |  | 883,000 |  | 931,000 |  | 967,000 |  | 1,019,000 |  | 1,050,000 |
| Reserve Fund (25\% of Expenditures) |  | 1,887,800 |  | 150,400 |  | 117,900 |  | 91,700 |  | 129,800 |  | 77,700 |
| Total | \$ | 10,193,800 | \$ | 9,868,000 | \$ | 10,355,200 | \$ | 10,731,900 | \$ | 11,341,000 | \$ | 11,630,700 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net Revenue / (Deficit) |  | 1,920,700 ! |  | 3,460,000 |  | 3,299,700 |  | 3,259,600 |  | 2,994,700 |  | 2,958,600 |
| Ending Fund Balance | \$ | 1,920,700 ! | \$ | 3,460,000 | \$ | 6,759,700 | \$ | 10,019,300 | \$ | 13,014,000 | \$ | 15,972,600 |
| ROAD FUND SUMMARY |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Annual City Operating Budget |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Base Year |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 2014-15 |  | 2017-18 |  | 2018-19 |  | 2019-20 |  | 2020-21 |  | 2021-22 |
| Beginning Fund Balance | \$ |  | \$ | - | \$ | $(1,697,500)$ | \$ | $(1,740,000)$ | \$ | $(1,784,500)$ | \$ | $(1,830,100)$ |
| Revenues by Source |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gas Tax (Sec. 2105) |  | 1,400 |  | 1,400 |  | 1,500 |  | 1,500 |  | 1,500 |  | 1,500 |
| Gas Tax (Sec. 2106) |  | 132,700 |  | 136,700 |  | 138,100 |  | 139,500 |  | 140,900 |  | 142,300 |
| Gas Tax (Sec. 2107) |  | 125,400 |  | 125,400 |  | 125,400 |  | 125,400 |  | 125,400 |  | 125,400 |
| Gas Tax (Sec. 2107.5) |  | 5,000 |  | 5,000 |  | 6,000 |  | 6,000 |  | 6,000 |  | 6,000 |
| Interest Earnings |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Total | \$ | 264,500 | \$ | 268,500 | \$ | 271,000 | \$ | 272,400 | \$ | 273,800 | \$ | 275,200 |
| Expenditures by Department |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Road Maintenance |  | 1,837,300 |  | 1,966,000 |  | 2,011,000 |  | 2,056,900 |  | 2,103,900 |  | 2,152,000 |
| Total | \$ | 1,837,300 | \$ | 1,966,000 | \$ | 2,011,000 | \$ | 2,056,900 | \$ | 2,103,900 | \$ | 2,152,000 |
| Net Revenue / (Deficit) |  | $(1,572,800)$ |  | $(1,697,500)$ |  | $(1,740,000)$ |  | $(1,784,500)$ |  | $(1,830,100)$ |  | $(1,876,800)$ |
| Ending Fund Balance | \$ | $(1,572,800)$ | \$ | $(1,697,500)$ | \$ | $(3,437,500)$ | \$ | $(3,524,500)$ | \$ | $(3,614,600)$ | \$ | $(3,706,900)$ |
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|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Base Year } \\ 2014-15 \end{gathered}$ |  | Annual City Operating Budget |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | 2017-18 | 2018-19 |  | 2019-20 |  | 2020-21 |  | 2021-22 |  |
| Unrestricted Cash from Dissolved Districts |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General |  | I |  | 7,348,500 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Road |  | - |  | 1,177,700 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Water and Sewer |  | - |  | 13,541,500 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | \$ | - |  | 22,067,700 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Beginning Fund Balance | \$ |  | \$ | 22,067,700 | \$ | 22,429,000 | \$ | 22,989,000 | \$ | 23,654,400 | \$ | 24,425,600 |
| Dissolved District Revenues |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Property Taxes from Dissolved Districts |  | 15,941,000 |  | 17,191,100 |  | 17,606,900 |  | 18,033,300 |  | 18,470,400 |  | 18,918,600 |
| Fees for Services |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General |  | 7,148,500 |  | 7,615,300 |  | 7,777,600 |  | 7,943,300 |  | 8,112,600 |  | 8,285,500 |
| Road Districts |  | 1,003,400 |  | 1,068,900 |  | 1,091,700 |  | 1,115,000 |  | 1,138,800 |  | 1,163,100 |
| Water and Sewer Districts |  | 15,052,600 |  | 16,035,600 |  | 16,377,300 |  | 16,726,300 |  | 17,082,700 |  | 17,446,700 |
| Interest Earnings |  | - |  | 69,000 |  | 70,100 |  | 71,900 |  | 73,900 |  | 76,400 |
| Total | \$ | 39,145,500 |  | 41,979,900 | \$ | 42,923,600 | \$ | 43,889,800 | \$ | 44,878,400 | \$ | 45,890,300 |
|  |  | ' |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dissolved Districts Expenditures |  | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fire Protection |  | 16,560,100 |  | 17,641,500 |  | 18,017,400 |  | 18,401,400 |  | 18,793,500 |  | 18,793,500 |
| Other Operating Expenditures |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General |  | 7,355,300 |  | 7,835,600 |  | 8,002,600 |  | 8,173,100 |  | 8,347,300 |  | 8,525,200 |
| Road Districts |  | 986,700 |  | 1,051,100 |  | 1,073,500 |  | 1,096,400 |  | 1,119,800 |  | 1,143,700 |
| Water and Sewer Districts |  | 12,377,100 |  | 13,185,400 |  | 13,466,400 |  | 13,753,400 |  | 14,046,500 |  | 14,345,800 |
| Debt Service |  | 2,762,200 |  | 1,905,000 |  | 1,803,700 |  | 1,800,100 |  | 1,800,100 |  | 1,800,100 |
| Total | \$ | 40,041,400 |  | 41,618,600 | \$ | 42,363,600 | \$ | 43,224,400 | \$ | 44,107,200 | \$ | 44,608,300 |
| Net Revenue / (Deficit) |  | $(895,900)$ |  | 361,300 |  | 560,000 |  | 665,400 |  | 771,200 |  | 1,282,000 |
| Ending Fund Balance | \$ | $(895,900)$ |  | 22,429,000 | \$ | 22,989,000 | \$ | 23,654,400 | \$ | 24,425,600 | \$ | 25,707,600 |

